(MR. SPEAKER.)

cannot be disclosed because it is not in public interest to disclose it, neither I nor any member of this House has any right to compel the Minister to do so. It is not right to ask what is public interest and what is not. The point has to be decided by the Government and the Minister concerned. Once they have decided that a certain matter is not in public interest to disclose in this House, the matter should end at that.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know whether the District Boards are functioning in parts other than the old ones ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—A reply to that has been given. The reply is that except Coorg, in other parts of the State outside old Mysore there are District Local Boards.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—I want to know whether District Boards as such are functioning in parts of Mysore other than the old ones ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—They are functioning much better in other parts.

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—The Bombay Karnataka District Boards as such are functioning.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know, Sir, whether these District Boards will be allowed to function under their respective District Boards Act ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—They would be allowed to function till the expiry of their periods.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know when the time would expire for these areas ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—The date varies from district to district.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—Which Act, whether the existing ones or the Mysore Act, would be operative when the present period expires ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—Suitable action is under contemplation.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—In pursuance of the Government's decision to postpone elections, what is the attitude of the Government so far as these District Boards are concerned ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾಯಿತೆ.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—I would like to know whether the Government is contemplating postponing elections in these areas also ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The reply given is that suitable action would be taken.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—What were the reasons for the Government for not issuing a final order about constituting these advisory bodies for over an year ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—I do not admit that it has been delayed for over a year. It was possibly two months or so. Government had an idea of constituting the advisory boards, but since the general elections intervened we thought it wise to postpone.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—May I know why Government did not constitute these advisory boards in spite of receiving names and suggestions from the various districts to constitute these committees ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—Since the general elections intervened, it was considered not desirable to hold elections to district boards also, which were also direct elections. It was therefore considered desirable that in the place of elected bodies, advisory boards be constitued.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Sir, there is no connection between the general elections and the Government passing an order constituting an Advisory Body. What is the difficulty that came in the way of the Government's forming advisory bodies ? It cannot be the general elections.

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—That has already been explained.

AN HON'BLE MEMBER.—May I know whether the district boards would be constituted in Coorg ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.—It is under examination, Sir.

---

**Detail of Survey conducted in Jodichannapura, Kunigal Taluk.**

*Q.*—172. Sri N. HUCHMASTHYGOWDA (Huliyurdurga).—(3-12-1956)

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the year in which survey was conducted in Jodichannapura, Kunigal Taluk ;

(*b*) the number of survey numbers into which this village has been divided;

(*c*) whether the name of the saguvalidar of each survey number is not recorded when the survey was conducted; (if recorded particulars to be furnished);

(*d*) whether these saguvalidars have not alienated the lands by way of mortgage or hypothecation, etc., after the survey was conducted;

(*e*) when the Notification relating to Hissa survey and settlement of this village was issued;

(*f*) the date from which the Hissa survey would be taken up as mentioned in that Notification;

(*g*) (i) when Amildar had gone to this village to prepare the preliminary records;

(ii) whether he has verified as to who the saguvalidars were; (information to be given as to the nature of the report);

(*h*) when the Mojinidar had gone to that village to conduct settlement;

(*i*) whether the complaint made by the villagers on the date of his visit to the effect that the names of the saguvalidars had not been corre tly recorded in the preliminary records has been reported to the higher authorities;

(*j*) whether it is a fact that the District Survey Superintendent visited this village on 20th July 1956:

(*k*) whether the District Survey Officer when he visited on 20th July 1956 has verified survey numberwise who the saguvalidars were;

(*l*) the nature of his report?

*A.*—Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—(31-12-56)

(*a*) 1927-28.

(*b*) 152 survey numbers.

(*c*) The name of the saguvalidar of each S. No. has been recorded during survey operations. A copy of the recorded particulars is placed on the Table of the House.

(*d*) Information is not readily avaliable.

(*e*) The Notification was issued on 15th May 1956 and published in the *My sore Gazette* dated 17th May 1956.

(*f*) From 1st June 1956.

(*g*) The concerned Revenue Inspector went to the village to get the preliminary records prepared. The Amildar checked 25 per cent of the entries and found them all correct.

(*h*) June 1956.

(*i*) whatever changes that were noticed in the preliminary Register and as found on the field during measurement were incorporated in the concerned Registers when all the concerned parties admitted as per the Record of Rights Rules.

(*j*) Yes.

(*k*) The Survey Settlement rates were announced before the assembled ryots on 20th July 1956, survey numberwise and Hissawise and certain petitions presented at this time for change of names have been forwarded to the Amildar for being dealt with on merits of each case by effecting phodes in the names of the individuals after notification under section (4), 2 of the R.R. Act, was notified in the village after settlement is announced.

(*l*) *Vide* reply to item (k).

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—(G) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರು ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ತನಿಖೆಮಾಡಿದ ತಾರೀಖನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲುಸಾಧ್ಯವೆ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I am sorry that information is not here with me.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ತಾರೀಖು ಕೇಳಿರುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶವಾದರೂ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರು ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗದೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಾಫಿಸಿನಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಕುಳಿತು ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬ ವರ್ತಮಾನವಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು, ಆಮೇಲಾದರೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I shall look into it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಕಾನೂನು ಪ್ರಕಾರವಾಗಿ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರೇ ಹೋಗಿ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಅಗತ್ಯವಲ್ಲವೆ? (G) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರು ಹೋಗಿ ತನಿಖೆಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಕಾನೂನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರೇ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಪ್ರಿಲಿಮಿನರಿ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ಸ್ ತಯಾರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಅಗತ್ಯವಲ್ಲವೆ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—First the Revenue Inspector does it. Then some of the entries are checked by the Amildar. The reply given in this case is that 25% of the entries were checked and found correct.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಶೇಕಡ 75 ಮಂದಿ ಸಾಗುವಳಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ನಮೂದಿಸಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ದೂರುಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ನಿಜವಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಯಾವ ಹೆಸರಾದರೂ ತಪ್ಪುಬಿದ್ದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಹಾಕಿದರೆ ಹೆಸರು ದುರಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಆಮೇಲೆ ಬರ ಬಹುದು. ಈಗ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ. (i) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ನಿರ್ಧರವಾದ ಉತ್ತರಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವೆ? ಸಾಗುವಳಿದಾರರು ತಮ್ಮ ಹೆಸರು ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ದೂರುಕೊಟ್ಟರುವರೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನರಿಯಾದ ಉತ್ತರಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The reply is already there, Sir, in (k). The complaints were forwarded to the proper authorities to be dealt with by them.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—2ನೆಯ ಸ್ಟೇಜ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇದಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಸೇಕದಾರರು ಹೋಗಿ ಪ್ರಿಲಿಮಿನೆರಿ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ತಯಾರಿಸಿದಮೇಲೆ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರು ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಸ್ಟೇಜು. ಮತ್ತೆ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ನರ್ವೇಯವರು ಹೋಗಿ ಹಿಡುವಳಿದಾರರು ಯಾರು ಸಾಗುವಳಿದಾರರು ಯಾರು ಎಂದು ಗೊತ್ತು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಎರಡನೆಯ ಸ್ಟೇಜು. ಎರಡನೆಯ ಸ್ಟೇಜು ಹೋಗಲಿ, ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಸ್ಟೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರಿಗೆ ದೂರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—At any stage, whether it is the first or the second, it can be looked into. That is not the point-at-issue now. The point is whether the complaints have been dealt with or not. If they were at the first stage they are dealt with by the proper authorities and if they were at the second stage then too it is looked into by the proper authorities.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—2ನೆಯ ಸ್ಟೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ದೂರುಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು. ದೂರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಾಗ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ನರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾರು ಯಾರು ಸಾಗುವಳಿದಾರರು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I want notice, Sir, because these things are dealt with by the Amildar.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಫೈನಲ್ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಅನೌನ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಆದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗ ನಿರ್ಧಾರಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—It is a suggestion for action, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಚ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಸಾಗುವಳಿದಾರನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನುತ್ತೀರಿ? ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ದಾಖಲಾತಿಗಳನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—When the officials go there, they make the enquiries as faa as possible. They then make the entries according to their information and to the best of their knowledge. But that however is not final. The parties concerned, if they are dissatisfied with the initial findings, can go a step further and appeal to the proper authorities who would deal with them.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಚ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಹತ್ತುವರ್ಷಗಳ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲದ್ದ ಸಾಗುವಳಿದಾರರು ಕಂದಾಯದ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೂ ಹಿಂದಿನ ದಾಖಲಾತಿ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That has not come to my notice, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಸಾಗುವಳಿದಾರರನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರಾಗಲಿ ರೆವೆನ್ಯು ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರಾಗಲಿ ಇಂಟರ್‌ಪ್ರಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಸಾಗುವಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಳ್ಳಿಹಾಕಿರುವುದು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—There may be some cases. I have already explained this point. They are not the final orders. They are only in the nature of preliminary enquiries. If some party hinks that the entry is incorrect, that party has every right to apply to the proper authorities.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ನರ್ವೆನಂಬರು 27, 28ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗಿ ಈಚೆಗೆ ನರ್ವೆ ಆದಾಗ ಇನಾಂದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ?

1 P. M.

ನರ್ವೆ ಆದನಂತರ ಸಾಗುವಳಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು ಹಚ್ಚಿದ್ದು ಕಾಗದಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ನಮದಾಗಿ ಉಳಿದಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—What has happened in this particular village is, survey was made in 1927 but settlement was not ordered. The settlement was ordered only recently and there are no records of rights during this period.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಯಾವ ಯಾವುದು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ಆದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೂ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ನರಿಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ ವೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I do not think so. A number of changes have taken place because it is a very long period. If any injustice is done to any body he may complain to the proper authorities.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ನಾನು ಹಿಂದಿನ ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಗಮನ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದು ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟನಂತರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕ್ಯಗೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—It has not come to my notice. If there are such complaints they will be dealt with by the concerned authorities.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is a matter of opinion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ ಎಚ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುವಿರಾ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I want notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಚ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ. —ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಾಗ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಗಳು ಉಂಟಾಗಿವೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—No, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಅನಂತರ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question hour is over.

---

## QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

### (But not taken up)

### Acts to Eradicate Social Disabiiity and Untouchability in the State

*Q.*—89. Sri C. T. HANUMANTHAIYA (Pavagada—Scheduled Castes) Will the Government be pleased statle:—

(*a*) when sections 108 and 110 of Public Health Act, Removal of Social Disabilities Act, Removal of Untouchability Act, and Section 372 of I.P.S. came into force throughout the State ;

(*b*) the unmber of cases launched by the Police and Revenue Officers and the fines and punishments inflicted (information hobliwise, talukwise and districtwise to be furnished)?

*A.*—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) Sections 108 and 110 of the Public Health Act came into force from the 20th January, 1944. As regards the removal of Social Disabilities Act and Removal of Untouchability Act, those Acts are not in operation now and in their place the Untouchability (Offenders) Act, 1955, is in force from the lst June, 1955, Section 372 of the I.P.C. came into force from the date the I.P.C. was brought into operation.

(*b*) *Vide* list given overleaf.